Is a Positive Femoroacetabular Impingement Test a Common Finding in Healthy Young Adults?
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Abstract

Background Femoroacetabular impingement (FAI) is an incompletely understood clinical concept that implies pathomechanical changes in the hip as a cause for hip-related pain in young adults. While a positive anterior impingement test is suggestive of FAI, its association with clinical and radiographic findings remain unconfirmed in healthy young adults.

Questions/purposes We determined the prevalence of a positive test in 1170 young adults and examined its possible associations with (1) self-reported hip discomfort for the past 3 months; (2) weekly physical exercise; (3) hip ROM; and (4) radiographic findings associated with femoroacetabular impingement.

Methods We invited 2344 healthy 19-year-olds to a population-based hip study between 2008 and 2009; 1170 patients (50%) consented. The study included questionnaires on medical and functional status, a clinical hip examination including the impingement test and hip ROM, and two pelvic radiographs (AP and frog-leg views).

Results Based on at least one affected hip, 35 of 480 (7.3%) men and 32 of 672 (4.8%) women had positive impingement tests. Eighteen of the 1170 patients were excluded owing to suboptimal or missing radiographs. Self-reported hip discomfort in the women and increased physical exercise in the men were strongly associated with the positive impingement tests. Decreased abduction and internal rotation in the men, decreased flexion in both genders, and radiographic cam type findings in the men also were associated with positive tests.
Conclusion A positive test for anterior impingement is not uncommon in healthy young adults, especially in males. We believe it always should be performed along with pelvic radiographs in young, active patients presenting with hip pain.

Level of Evidence Level II, diagnostic study. See the Guidelines for Authors for a complete description of level of evidence.

Introduction

Femoroacetabular impingement (FAI) has gained increasing interest as a clinical concept during the last decade and is now recognized as a risk factor for early hip osteoarthritis [1, 11, 12]. The diagnosis of FAI should be suspected when there is a history of hip and/or groin discomfort or pain and reduced hip motion on clinical examination; specifically, decreased hip flexion and internal rotation [12, 20, 53]. The pain in FAI can be reproduced by a positive clinical test for anterior impingement [23, 29] (Fig. 1). However, the test alone is not specific [30, 35] and radiographic findings associated with FAI are needed to confirm the diagnosis [49].

FAI can be divided pathomechanically into a cam-type or a pincer-type impingement, based on the underlying anatomic deformity [10] (Fig. 2). The cam-type is characterized by a flattened or convex femoral head-neck junction, commonly seen at the anterosuperior aspect [13, 20, 36, 46, 48]. For the pincer-type, the underlying mechanism lies on the acetabular side, resulting in global or focal overcoverage [3, 12, 19, 21, 37, 42, 45]. In a recent population-based study on 2081 young adults (58% women), also including the 1170 subjects of this study, we reported prevalences of radiographic findings thought to be associated with cam- and pincer-type FAI on plain radiographs [24]. One or more findings indicating cam-type or pincer-type FAI were seen in 35 and 34% of the men and 10 and 17% of the women, respectively. Many of the radiographic findings coexisted. Clinically, the cam-type FAI is predominant in young, athletic boys and men, whereas the pincer-type FAI is seen more often in middle-aged women [11, 12, 20]. Often, a mixed type is present [3]. FAI can occur as a result of abnormal morphologic change or excessive ROM in the hip [8]. Increased physical exercise has been associated with FAI [11, 35]. Additional knowledge regarding the prevalence of a positive clinical test and its associations with clinical and radiographic findings would help to further understand FAI as a clinical concept and to integrate it in daily clinical practice, but remain to be confirmed in large population-based cohorts.

We, therefore, determined the prevalence of a positive femoroacetabular impingement test in a cohort of healthy young men and women, and examined associations of a positive test with (1) self-reported hip discomfort the past 3 months; (2) physical exercise; (3) clinically assessed hip ROM; and (4) radiographic findings associated with FAI.

Patients and Methods

This study was performed on healthy young adults 18 to 20 years old as part of the followups of the population-based ‘1989 Bergen Birth Cohort’ which comprised all babies born at Haukeland University Hospital during 1989 (n = 4703). They were part of a large randomized trial at birth, designed to assess different screening strategies for developmental dysplasia of the hip in 11,925 newborns born from 1988 to 1990 [43]. Between 2007 and 2009, 3935 of the 4703 subjects from the 1989 cohort were invited for long-term followups when they were 18 to 20 years old (Fig. 3). For this paper, we included only the
2344 who were invited after the impingement test was added to the clinical assessment. Of 2344 invited, 1170 (50%) attended the followups. These 1170 patients also were reported in our earlier report on radiographic FAI findings [24]. Patients with excessive pelvic rotation as assessed by an obturator foramen index outside 0.6 to 1.8 [51] or without radiographs owing to possible early pregnancy were excluded. Thus, 1152 patients, 480 men (42%; mean age, 19 years [SD, 0.4]) and 672 women (58%; mean age, 19 years [SD, 0.4]), were included for further analyses. Fifteen men and 46 women had been treated for developmental dysplasia of the hip as newborns. A sensitivity analysis was performed while considering an inverse probability weighted (IPW) approach to take into account a possible non-response bias [44]. The results of the observed data were reported, as they gave similar results. The research protocol was approved by the Medical Research Ethics Committee of the Western Region of Norway and the Norwegian Data Inspectorate (No. 3.2006.144). All participants gave written, informed consent, according to the Helsinki declaration.

Fig. 2A–C  (A) Normal anatomy of the hip (left) allows sufficient space for the cup to rotate properly in the acetabulum (right). In cam-type and pincer-type impingements, abnormal contact between the proximal femur and the acetabular rim disturbs adequate movement. (B) In cam-type impingement, during forceful motion, the aspheric portion of the head abuts and subsequently damages the acetabular rim, further damaging the cartilage and labrum. (C) In pincer-type impingement, an increase in either the coverage of the femoral head or the relative depth of the acetabulum causes an injured acetabular rim, followed by hypertrophy and degenerative changes in the labrum.

Fig. 3  The flow chart shows the inclusion and exclusion criteria for our study (n = 1170) at followups. Babies with birth weight less than 1500 g, who died within the first month, or whose mother resided outside the catchment area of the hospital were not included in the 1989 Bergen Birth Cohort. FOI = obturator foramen index.

the Norwegian Data Inspectorate (No 3.2006.144). All participants gave written, informed consent, according to the Helsinki declaration.

The followups consisted of questionnaires, clinical examinations, and two pelvic radiographs (one weightbearing AP view and one supine frog-leg view). The first questionnaire comprised questions on medical history, including hip-related problems in childhood, and the second questionnaire included computer-based standardized questionnaires on quality of life (EQ-5D) [50] and on hip problems (WOMACTM osteoarthritis index) [4], and specific questions related to hip discomfort and to physical activity.
The participants were asked the following questions regarding each hip separately: “Have you experienced hip discomfort from the hip the past 3 months?” and “Outside school hours, how many hours do you usually exercise in your free time—so much that you get out of breath or sweat?” This last question originates from the WHO Health Behaviour in School Children (HBSC) physical activity questionnaire and had six response alternatives: none, about half an hour a week, about one hour a week, about 2 to 3 hours a week, about 4 to 6 hours a week, or 7 hours per week or more [5, 28, 40]. One experienced senior orthopaedic surgeon (LBE) standardized the clinical examination and trained the four less-experienced physicians (LBL, IOE, TGL, AMH). They were blinded to the results of the questions and the radiographs. A standardized protocol was obtained, including hip ROM and impingement test assessments. Flexion, abduction, and adduction were measured with the patient supine, whereas extension and internal and external rotations were measured with the patient prone and the knee flexed 90°. Extension was not measured in one man and six women.

The standardized radiographic examination was performed by a specially trained radiographer (ST) using a low-dose, digital radiography technique (Digital Diagnost X-ray System, release 1.5, Philips Medical Systems DMC GmbH, Hamburg, Germany). The mean total effective dose was 0.15 mSv for both radiographs together. Men were offered gonadal shields. In women, however, shields were not offered as they risk obscuring important anatomy. In addition, the effect of shielding on dose reduction in females has been questioned [2]. Hips were kept in a neutral abduction-adduction position with the toes directed forward for the AP view. The radiographer ensured correct posture to avoid excessive tilt or rotation of the pelvis [47]. We used a film and focus distance of 1.2 m and centered 2 cm proximal to the pubic symphysis for the AP view and at the pubis symphysis for the frog-leg view. All radiographs were blocked for patient confidentiality, and assessed by gross visual inspection on a high-resolution screen by one experienced pediatric musculoskeletal radiologist (KR). Positioning of the pelvis on the AP view and presence or absence of any of the qualitative cam-type and pincer-type radiographic findings on the two views were noted. In addition, all the AP views were assessed in a validated digital measurement program by three of the authors (LBL, IOE, TGL) (Adult DDH, University of Iowa Hospitals and Clinics, Iowa City, Iowa, USA) [9, 38]. The digital program initially included the center edge (CE) angle [52], and later was extended to include the alpha angle and the triangular index [13, 36] (Appendix 1). To assess a cam-type deformity one of the physicians (LBL) measured the alpha angle measurement and the triangular index (Fig. 4), while the radiologist (KR) by gross visual inspection determined the presence of a pistol grip deformity, focal prominence of the femoral neck, and lateral flattening of the femoral head [12, 20, 46] (Fig. 5). The presence of a pincer-type FAI was determined by measuring increased CE angles (LBL, IOE, TGL), indicating lateral overcoverage, and by gross visual inspection (KR) by the posterior wall sign and the crossover sign [12, 21, 37, 42, 52] (Fig. 6). The pistol grip deformity and the focal prominence were scored as positive if present in the AP and/or the frog-leg view. All other measurements were performed on the AP view. The alpha angle, crossover sign, and lateral flattening of the femoral head were not measured on three, 33, and five radiographs respectively.

Interobserver reliabilities for flexion, extension, abduction, adduction, and external and internal rotations presented as intraclass correlation coefficients (ICC), have been reported as 0.87, 0.44, 0.34, 0.54, 0.18, and 0.79, respectively [39]. The value for interobserver variability for the anterior impingement test is reportedly 0.58 (95% CI, 0.29–0.87) [31], and the interobserver agreement for the impingement test is reportedly 96% [39]. A small interobserver study (30 right hips, 30 left hips) (LBE, TGL) showed the interrater agreement for the impingement test to be 95%. Two of the authors (blinded to the patients’ identification), measured and remeasured the images (after an interval of at least 8 weeks), and found intraobserver and interobserver agreements of $\kappa = 0.85$ and $\kappa = 0.69$, respectively for the triangular index, and 95% limits of agreement of intraobserver and interobserver variabilities.
for the alpha angle; $-5.95^\circ$, $6.71^\circ$ and $-7.76^\circ$, $12.78^\circ$, respectively. Interobserver agreements for assessments of findings for the cam-type and pincer-type impingements were reported earlier ($\kappa = 0.74 – 0.84$) [24]. For the CE angle, the 95% limits of agreement of intraobserver and interobserver statistics have been reported at $-4.18; 4.20$ and $-3.61; 3.32$ [9].

The prevalences of a positive impingement test are presented as numbers (percentages) with corresponding 95% CIs. Differences in the prevalence of a positive impingement test according to sex and side were examined using Pearson chi-square test. Descriptive statistics for the variables considered as possible predictors of a positive impingement test were summarized by sex and side and were reported as numbers (percentages) or means (SD) as appropriate (Table 1). We used generalized estimating equations (GEE) models to study possible associations between the predictor variables and a positive impingement test. P values and prevalence rate ratios (PRR) with corresponding 95% CIs were estimated with GEE models [18], adjusted by side (left or right), to take into account the correlation between bilateral hips. The p value was used to evaluate the effect of the variables on a positive test. All the reported p values were two-tailed. A PRR value describes how the presence of a given variable alters the prevalence of a positive test; ie, a PRR of 3.1 means an increase of 210%. For continuous variables (hip ROM and CE angle) the PRR represents the increase of the prevalence for a unit ($5^\circ$) change of the continuous variable.

Weekly physical activity was treated as a continuous variable with 1-hour increments; ie, a linear effect was assumed. The hip ROM values were continuous variables with $5^\circ$ decrements. All the cam-type and pincer-type variables assessed by gross visual inspection were categorical variables. The alpha angle was categorized into normal (men ($M \leq 68$, women ($W \leq 50$), borderline ($M = 69–82$; $W = 51–56$), or pathologic ($M \geq 83$; $W \geq 57$) groups [13]. A CE angle greater than $45^\circ$ was
considered to indicate acetabular overcoverage [15]. The
CE angle also was considered as a continuous variable with
5° increments. We created a radiographic composite score
of 1, 2, or cam-type three or greater and of 1 or 2 or greater
pincer-type findings, respectively. All 1152 patients
included in the analyses had the clinical examinations,
impingement tests, and radiographs taken. For the analyses
only patients without missing data were analyzed for each
variable. Statistics were performed in Stata® Statistical
Software: Release 11 (StataCorp LP®, College Station,
TX, USA) and in IBM® SPSS® Statistics, version 20.0
(Armonk, New York, USA).

### Results

Based on the worst affected (ie, at least one) hip, 35 of
480 men (7.3%) and 32 of 672 women (4.8%) had pos-
tive tests for anterior impingement (Table 2). Fourteen of
480 (2.9%) men and eight of 672 (1.2%) women tested
positive bilaterally. The differences in the prevalences of
a positive test for males compared with females were 21
of 480 versus 24 of 672 unilaterally (p = 0.451), 14 of
480 versus eight of 672 bilaterally (p = 0.039), and 35 of
480 versus 32 of 672 when based on at least one hip
(p = 0.073).
Self-reported hip discomfort during the past 3 months was associated with positive impingement tests by women \((p = 0.001)\), but not by men \((p = 0.437)\) (Table 3).

Increased physical exercise was found to be associated by men \((p = 0.001)\) but not by women \((p = 0.967)\) (Table 3).

As for the ROM, decreased hip flexion in women and men \((p = 0.003\) and \(p = 0.018)\) and internal rotation \((p = 0.001)\) for men were associated with positive impingement tests (Table 3).

A cam-type finding was associated with positive impingement tests in men for a composite score value of

---

**Table 2. Positive tests for anterior impingement in 480 men and 672 women**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Positive test for anterior impingement</th>
<th>Men, number (%)</th>
<th>95% CI</th>
<th>Women, number (%)</th>
<th>95% CI</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Right hip</td>
<td>25 (5.2)</td>
<td>3.2–7.2</td>
<td>18 (2.7)</td>
<td>1.5–3.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left hip</td>
<td>24 (5.0)</td>
<td>3.0–7.0</td>
<td>22 (3.3)</td>
<td>1.9–4.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unilateral</td>
<td>21 (4.4)</td>
<td>2.5–6.2</td>
<td>24 (3.6)</td>
<td>2.2–5.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bilateral</td>
<td>14 (2.9)</td>
<td>1.4–4.4</td>
<td>8 (1.2)</td>
<td>0.4–2.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>At least one hip (worst)</td>
<td>35 (7.3)</td>
<td>5.0–9.6</td>
<td>32 (4.8)</td>
<td>3.1–6.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The 95% CIs were calculated using binomial CIs.

**Table 3. Analysis of associations of positive impingement tests**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Men</th>
<th>Women</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>p value</td>
<td>PRR*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Physical exercise (hours/week)</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>1.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hip discomfort past 3 months</td>
<td>0.437</td>
<td>1.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hip ROM (5° decrement)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flexion</td>
<td>0.062</td>
<td>1.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abduction</td>
<td>0.018</td>
<td>1.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adduction</td>
<td>0.675</td>
<td>1.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Extension</td>
<td>0.119</td>
<td>1.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Internal rotation</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>1.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>External rotation</td>
<td>0.212</td>
<td>0.92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Radiographic cam findings</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alpha angle borderline</td>
<td>0.518</td>
<td>1.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alpha pathological</td>
<td>0.249</td>
<td>1.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Triangular index</td>
<td>0.288</td>
<td>1.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pistol grip deformity</td>
<td>0.548</td>
<td>1.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Focal prominence</td>
<td>0.181</td>
<td>1.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flattened lateral head</td>
<td>0.165</td>
<td>1.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Composite cam score</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.043</td>
<td>2.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.050</td>
<td>2.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥ 3</td>
<td>0.309</td>
<td>1.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Radiographic pincer findings</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acetabular overcoverage§</td>
<td>0.367</td>
<td>0.89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Posterior wall sign</td>
<td>0.921</td>
<td>0.96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crossover sign</td>
<td>0.804</td>
<td>0.93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Composite pincer score</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.780</td>
<td>1.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥ 2</td>
<td>0.598</td>
<td>0.78</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* PRR = prevalence rate ratio describes how the presence of a given variable alters the prevalence of a positive test; ** 95% CI, PRR values are presented with corresponding 95% CI; men, 69°–82°; women, 51°–56°; men ≥ 83°; women ≥ 57°; based on a continuous center-edge angle with 5° increment; none of the women with a flattened lateral head had a positive impingement test and therefore the statistical model is not valid in this case.
one or two (p = 0.043 and p = 0.050, respectively) positive findings, respectively. In men with three or more positive findings, no association was seen with a positive test (p = 0.309) (Table 3). Radiographic pincer-type findings were not associated with positive tests in either gender.

Discussion

The prevalence of a positive anterior impingement test and its association with clinical and radiographic findings thought to be related to FAI remain unconfirmed in healthy young adults. We, therefore, determined the prevalence of a positive impingement test in a population-based cohort of 1170 young adults and examined possible associations of a positive test with (1) self-reported hip discomfort; (2) physical exercise; (3) clinically assessed hip ROM; and (4) radiographic findings associated with FAI.

We acknowledge some limitations that require consideration. First we had a moderate attendance rate of 50%. A selection bias could exist, as the cohort was drawn from a previous population-based hip trial designed to evaluate the effect of ultrasound screening in the diagnosis of hip dysplasia in newborns. Those who received a hip ultrasound as newborns or experienced hip-related problems in infancy possibly could be more prone to participate, along with participants with hip-related problems at the time of followups. A sensitivity analysis with an inverse probability weighted approach, however, did not reveal any no-response bias. Furthermore, no noteworthy differences in growth data characteristics for attendees and nonattendees were seen at birth or at 7 years of age, except for sex distribution, as reported previously [24]. Second, our cohort was homogeneous and young, and there are likely to be at-risk patients who have not had the anterior acetabular labral disorder fully developed that will make the impingement test positive, even though they have typical radiographic cam-type findings. The prevalences presented here therefore are likely to be age-dependent. Further followup of the cohort may provide more answers. Third, there is the possibility of a false positive or false negative impingement test. According to the literature, the sensitivity and specificity of the test for anterior impingement are 70% and 44%, when the test represents the most painful provocative movement [35]. In addition, patients with acetabular dysplasia could test positive [25, 27]. A high positive predictive value of the anterior impingement test was recently reported [17]. Fourth, the question regarding hip discomfort during the past 3 months for each of the hips was not validated. However, it appeared to be appropriate and without risk for confusion. Fifth, our digital software program allowed measurements of the alpha angle on the AP view only, which is believed adequate by some authors [13, 22, 34]. Others advocate the modified Dunn or the frog-leg view shows the cam deformity better [7, 32]. We therefore included scoring of the cam-type findings from the frog-leg view into the composite cam score. The strengths of our study included the population-based cohort design with a homogenous age group, the standardized protocols for radiographic and clinical examination, and GEE models to account for the correlation between bilateral hips when evaluating the associations with the different variables.

The prevalence of clinically assessed FAI has been estimated at 10% to 15% in a general adult population [26], as compared with our figures of 7.3% in men and 4.8% in women at age 19 years. The difference may in part be age-related, as the impingement test turns positive after labral damage has occurred; ie, with time. A study presenting the prevalence of cam type FAI morphology in 200 asymptomatic volunteers (89 men, 111 women; mean age 29.4 years) reported three of 200 patients (1.5%) had tested positive for anterior impingement [16]. Patients with ongoing hip or groin problems and/or earlier childhood hip problems were not included, which may explain the lower prevalence of positive tests compared with our results. Numerous studies reported the prevalence of radiographic cam type FAI (Table 4). Overall, the radiographic prevalence in young men was higher than the prevalence of the positive impingement test. Followup studies are needed to understand if these radiographic cam-type findings actually represent a potentially large amount of at-risk patients in a presumed presymptomatic FAI stage.

We found that radiographic cam-type findings were associated with a positive impingement test in men for a composite score value of one or two findings. No such association was seen in women. Interestingly, we found no association between the alpha angle measurement and a positive impingement test, in accordance with earlier findings [16]. The radiographic cam-type findings might be associated with lower-limb dominance in sporting activities, particularly those involving hip flexion, for instance, soccer. We found a higher level of weekly physical activity was associated with positive tests in men. Others have found that 70% of patients with FAI participated in sporting activities, 30% of them on a high-level basis [35]. Our results support these findings. We have confirmed a positive test also is associated with decreased hip ROM in both genders for flexion, and for internal rotation and abduction in men. In a prospective study [6] of 51 patients with FAI (29 men, 22 women; mean age, 35 years), 88% had positive tests for anterior impingement, and internal rotation and hip flexion were confirmed to be reduced in symptomatic patients with FAI.

Overall, a positive test for anterior impingement in a cohort of healthy young adults is not uncommon, with a
higher prevalence in men (7.3%) than in women (4.8%). A positive impingement test is associated with radiographic cam-type FAI and increasing physical activity in men, confirming the cam-type impingement is more common in young, active men. Self-reported hip discomfort was associated with positive tests in women. Our results also confirm the decrease in ROM in patients with positive impingement tests, particularly for flexion and internal rotation, and also in abduction. It is important that the anterior impingement test along with hip ROM tests are used in a standardized fashion. FAI can be difficult, clinically and radiographically, to diagnose, and a consensus regarding the radiographic criteria is needed.
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Appendix 1: The Digital Measurement Program Adult DDH

The digital measurement program (Adult DDH, University of Iowa Hospitals and Clinics, Iowa City, Iowa, USA) [9, 38], was expanded to include the measurements of the alpha angle and triangular index on the AP view.

First, four points outline the femoral head circle, identical to the circle otherwise applied in the manner described by Mose [33], using a hard transparent plastic sheet containing concentric circles. The four points are placed in the

Table 4. Prevalence of femoroacetabular impingement reported in the literature

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study</th>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Study population</th>
<th>Prevalence of FAI, based on:</th>
<th>Radiographic modality and FAI findings</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gosvig et al. [14]</td>
<td>2008</td>
<td>Denmark</td>
<td>3202 (M = 1184, F = 2018)</td>
<td>M = 17%, F = 4%, age range, 22–93 years</td>
<td>Standardized AP pelvic radiographs, alpha angle, and triangular index</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hack et al. [16]</td>
<td>2010</td>
<td>Canada</td>
<td>200 (M = 89, F = 111); mean age, 29 years (range, 21–51 years)</td>
<td>At least one hip, 1.5% (M + F)</td>
<td>MRI, alpha angle</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reichenbach et al. [41]</td>
<td>2010</td>
<td>Switzerland</td>
<td>M = 244; mean age, 20 years</td>
<td>M = 24%</td>
<td>MRI, scoring system for grading the maximum offset of the head-neck junction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jung et al. [22]</td>
<td>2011</td>
<td>USA</td>
<td>380 (M = 108, F = 272); M = mean age, 63 years (range, 27–93 years); F = 60 years (range, 26–91 years)</td>
<td>M: pathological (≥ 83°): 14%, borderline (6–82°): 15%; F: pathological (≥ 57°): 6%; borderline (51–56°): 6%</td>
<td>AP pelvic CT scout, alpha angle</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Laborie et al. [24]</td>
<td>2011</td>
<td>Norway</td>
<td>2060 (M = 868, F = 1192); mean age, 19 years (range, 17–20 years)</td>
<td>At least one hip, M = 35%, F = 10%; M = 25%, F = 6%</td>
<td>Standardized AP and frog-leg pelvic radiograph, subjective evaluation of cam type</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Current study</td>
<td>2012</td>
<td>Norway</td>
<td>1152* (M = 480, F = 672); mean age, 19 years (SD 0.4).</td>
<td>At least one hip: M = 7.3%, F = 4.8% Bilaterally: M = 2.9%, F = 1.2%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

FAI = femoroacetabular impingement; * these 1152 were included in the study by Laborie et al. [24].
medial and superior part of the head circumference, the last point, determining to the midaxis of the collum, at the distance of half the last point, determining to the midaxis of the collum, at the distance of half the femoral junction crosses outside the femoral head circle.

Last, the program automatically draws a line perpendicular to the femoral head and acetabulum without the risk of avascular necrosis. *J Bone Joint Surg Br.* 2001;83:1119–1124.
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